



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

57
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/849,570	05/04/2001	Franck Abelard	PF000039	9695
7590		06/06/2005	EXAMINER	
Joseph S. Tripoli		TRAN, THAI Q		
Thomson Multimedia Licensing Inc.		ART UNIT		
Two Independence Way		PAPER NUMBER		
P.O. Box 5312		2616		
Princeton, NJ 08543-5312		DATE MAILED: 06/06/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 09/849,570	Applicant(s) ABELARD ET AL	
	Examiner Thai Tran	Art Unit 2616	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE ____ MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on ____.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) ____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) ____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-6 and 10 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☒ Claim(s) 7-9 is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) ____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 04 May 2001 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. ____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|---|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. ____. |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>5/4/01</u> . | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: ____. |

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

2. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it is not limited to a single paragraph and contains legal phraseology "steps". Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

4. Claims 1-6 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Matsumura et al (US 6,751,400 B1).

Regarding claim 1, Matsumura et al discloses a method for decoding compressed video pictures in a video decoding device (Fig. 2) comprising a random

Art Unit: 2616

access source of coded video pictures, a video decoder and a plurality of reconstruction buffers for storing decoded pictures, said method comprising the steps of:

establishing an order to decoding pictures (decoding order and display order disclosed in col. 8, lines 9-23); and

commanding said video decoder to decode a picture upon availability of a reconstruction buffer (steps (a), (b), and (c) disclosed in col. 6, lines 23-38).

Regarding claim 2, Matsumura et al discloses the claimed locking access to a reconstruction buffer containing a picture to be displayed until display of said picture (step (b) disclosed in col. 6, lines 28-33); and commanding the decoding of a further picture upon availability of an unlocked reconstruction buffer (step (c) disclosed in col. 6, lines 34-38).

Regarding claim 3, Matsumura et al discloses the claimed wherein said step of establishing an order for decoding pictures comprises the steps of:

determining a list of picture to be displayed among pictures in said stream (display order disclosed in col. 8, lines 9-23); and

recursively determining chains of predictors for said pictures to be displayed, and inserting said predictors in said list of pictures to be displayed in the order required for decoding predictors before pictures depending on said predictors (the I, P, and B pictures of the display order disclosed in col. 8, lines 9-23).

Regarding claim 4, Matsumura et al discloses the claimed wherein said compressed video steam comprises pictures in the order of decoding, further comprising the steps of determining for a bidirectional picture a nearest and a farthest

Art Unit: 2616

predictor, where said nearest predictor is the picture appearing in the stream closest to said bi-directional picture, said farthest predictor being decoded prior to said nearest predictor (the decoding of I, P, and B pictures disclosed in col. 1, lines 47-55).

Regarding claim 5, Matsumura et al discloses wherein said step of determining an order of decoding pictures comprises the steps of:

loading predetermined information descriptive of the contents of the video stream (the I, P, and B pictures of the display order disclosed in col. 8, lines 9-23); and

deriving said order of decoding pictures from said information as a function of a selected display mode ((the I, P, and B pictures of the display order disclosed in col. 8, lines 9-23).

Regarding claim 10, Matsumura et al discloses video decoding device (Fig. 2) comprising:

a random access source of a compressed video stream including coded pictures (the driving unit 2 disclosed in col. 5, lines 46-51);

means for selecting pictures to be decoded (the decoding unit 6 disclosed in col. 6, lines 48-58);

a plurality of reconstruction buffers for storing decoded pictures (the memory 8 disclosed in col. 5, lines 58-63);

a video decoder for decoding coded pictures (the decoding unit 6 disclosed in col. 6, lines 48-58); and

means for monitoring the availability for write access of reconstruction buffers and for controlling said video decoder to decode a selected picture upon availability of a

Art Unit: 2616

reconstruction buffer, wherein the availability of a reconstruction buffer is determined by the status of the display of a picture contained in said reconstruction buffer (steps (a), (b), and (c) disclosed in col. 6, lines 23-38).

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 7-9 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.


The cited references relate to a DVD player with trick modes.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thai Tran whose telephone number is (571) 272-7382.

The examiner can normally be reached on Mon. to Friday, 8:00 AM to 5:30 PM.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).


THAI TRAN
PRIMARY EXAMINER